

From: notification@civiclive.com
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: Communities of Interest 2022-01-13 05:07 PM(PST) Submission Notification
Date: Thursday, January 13, 2022 5:07:57 PM

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Communities of Interest 2022-01-13 05:07 PM(PST) was submitted by Guest on 1/13/2022 8:07:53 PM (GMT-08:00) US/Pacific

Name	Value
Question 1	Senior Citizen
Question 2	Sun City Roseville
Question 3	<p>Thank you for allowing the citizens in Roseville to provide input on the redistricting of our city. I am writing you to express my support for Maps B and C. This redistricting process and its outcomes are very important to Roseville residents and Sun City Roseville where I reside. Seniors are a key sector of Roseville, spend dollars in our community and vote in large numbers in each election. We have been very involved in City Council elections and the debate and ultimate support of key measures on the ballot in our community such as Measure B and the Sierra College Bond Measure in years past. It is vital that we be allowed to vote in every election and not allow even the redistricting process to cut the Senior sector out of the election process. Maps A, D, E and F would unfortunately create that result due to the timing of the creation of new district boundaries. Maps B and C make sense as they keep Sun City Roseville together and all the districts have boundaries that are logical like Highway 65, major arterial roads, man-made features like the railroad or natural features like our creeks. Maps B & C have logical Council District boundaries that are not designed to benefit one political affiliation or another which I have heard that maps A,D,E & F are apparently seeking to do. Maps should be crafted absent of politics and not for making up for the perceived wrongs of the past. Dividing our community to water-down the impact of the senior vote by dividing Sun City Roseville is wrong. Maps B and C and do not divide Sun City Roseville community which is what the redistricting process was created to prevent. Thank you for your time and your volunteer service to the citizens of the City of Roseville. Sincerely, Barbara Carothers 4112 Enchanted Circle Roseville, CA 95747</p>
Question 4	
First Name	Barbara Carothers
Email	wsportguy@gmail.com
District	4

To view this form submission online, please follow the link below:

<https://www.roseville.ca.us/form/one.aspx?objectId=18274544&contextId=18054736&returnto=submissions>

-----Original Message-----

From: Ann Wasgatt <aewasgatt@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2022 9:38 PM

To: City Clerk Public Record Request <CityClerk@roseville.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting for Roseville

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Historical Sierra Vista has the lovely wide streets because it was originally meant to be the downtown for Roseville. That broad street from the Crooked Bridge straight to Roseville High School with Woodbridge Park is definitely part of the Original City and should be included in District 2.


Draft Map D includes Historical Sierra Vista, which was part of the original Roseville. Roseville ended at Roseville High School, I-80, the Auction, the Fairgrounds, Kirby, and Vernon Street up through the 1970s. That is a community of common interests and the oldest housing areas. It is walkable for shopping on Vernon Street, Old Roseville. or even Roseville Square.

It is too bad Sun City was moved out of District 4, since it is another of the earlier housing communities and was happy with Map B.

Thank you for your months of work on Redistricting and for reading my comments.

Sincerely,
Ann Wasgatt
308 Alta Vista Ave.

showing in the Blue Oaks AND the Sun City neighborhood associations. All Police districts together with the exception of 26 (one tiny block upper right corner - zero population- Sun City) & 27 dividing Quail Glen. All but 4 specific plans together. I believe a 6.3% deviation, compact, and natural lines were possible.



VARIATION
MAP D

8²⁶ VARI.

Only Blue Oaks Divided

Fits All But 3 Specific Plans

AND All But 3 Police Districts

Submitted by
Lisa Larkin
1/13/22 meeting

From: [Dreyer, Helen](#)
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: FW: Suggestions for map B
Date: Friday, January 14, 2022 9:30:17 AM

From: Paul Frank <paulanddarci@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2022 10:24 PM
To: Dreyer, Helen <hdreyer@roseville.ca.us>
Subject: Fwd: Suggestions for map B

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Helen.
Please make these suggestions available for the public record.

Paul

As we begin collecting suggestions for changes to each map based on public input, I have the following recommendations for Map B in order of importance:

Move Sierra Vista NA into dist 2, but not census tract that includes high school and cemetery.

Move Kaseberg NA south of open space into dist 2.

Move Stoneridge NA north of miners ravine into dist 3.

Move Eskaton Village on Pleasant Grove from district 5 to district 4.

Again, these are just suggestions.

Thanks.

Paul